## PUBLIC NOTICE



US Army Corps of Engineers Kansas City District Permit No. 200500074 Issue Date: October 19, 2004

**Expiration Date: November 18, 2004** 

**30-Day Notice** 

**JOINT PUBLIC NOTICE:** This public notice is issued jointly with the <u>Missouri Department of Natural Resources</u>, Water Pollution Control Program. The Department of Natural Resources will use the comments to this notice in deciding whether to grant Section 401 water quality certification. Commenters are requested to furnish a copy of their comments to the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102.

**APPLICANT:** Kansas City District, Corps of Engineers 700 Federal Building Kansas City, Missouri 64106-2896

**PROJECT LOCATION** (As shown on the attached drawings): The proposed project is located in and along the left over bank of the Missouri River, between river miles (RM) 510 to 507.5, in the Thurnau Fish and Wildlife Mitigation Site. The Thurnau Site, also referred to as the Thurnau Conservation Area by the Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC), is located approximately three miles west of Craig, Missouri. The Thurnau Site is comprised of 1,753 acres jointly owned by the Corps of Engineers (1,372 acres) and MDC (381 acres). The site is located in portions of T62N, R40W, Sections 7, 8, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, and 21; and T62N, R41W, Sections 13 and 24, all in Holt County, Missouri.

**AUTHORITY:** The Missouri River Bank Stabilization and Navigation Fish and Wildlife Mitigation Project as authorized in the Water Resources Development Acts of 1986 and 1999 (Public Laws 99-662 and 106-53) and Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 USC 1344).

ACTIVITY (As shown on the attached drawings): PROPOSED WORK: The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) proposes to restore fisheries and wildlife habitat at the Thurnau Fish and Wildlife Mitigation Site under the Missouri River Fish and Wildlife Mitigation Project. The Thurnau site is one in a series of sites along the Missouri River in Missouri, Kansas, Nebraska and Iowa as part of the overall Mitigation Project. Habitat development activities would include reestablishing terrestrial, wetland, and prairie habitats, as well as creating shallow water habitat along the bank of the Missouri River. Management activities are accomplished on site by the Missouri Department of Conservation through a cooperative agreement with the Corps. The Thurnau Site is bounded by a non-federal agricultural levee on the north side and portions of a non-federal agricultural levee bounds the southern portions of the site along the

Missouri and Tarkio Rivers. The primary purpose of the Missouri River Fish and Wildlife Mitigation Project is to mitigate the habitat lost as a result of the Missouri River Bank Stabilization and Navigation Project (BSNP).

The following list of activities would be part of the recommended alternative (Full Development Alternative). 1.) Mouth widening at the Tarkio River confluence with the Missouri River would consist of excavating a shallow bench along the right descending bank of the Tarkio River. The bench would be excavated a few feet below the CRP to provide the required depth to support shallow water habitat. Mouth widening and construction of braided channels would be further evaluated during final design. Conceptually, braided channel development would consist of breeching existing levees and excavating earthen trenches into the overbank area of the Missouri and Tarkio Rivers to create secondary channels above the current confluence that would provide additional hydraulic connections between these two rivers. The majority of the material excavated for the mouth widening and braided channels would be deposited back into the Missouri River. 2.) The Corps would not rebuild or maintain the degraded levee on the south end of the site along the right bank of the Tarkio River in order to continue to provide the benefit of reconnecting the Tarkio River and Missouri River to the floodplain at this location, as well as to enhance the development of opportunistic wetlands. 3.) Previously farmed areas would be re-vegetated with native plant species, which would include a mix of container grown trees, bare root seedlings, natural succession of cottonwood and willow communities and prairie grasses. 4.) A portion of the site would be left in cropland through agricultural leasing in order to provide food plots for wildlife and to minimize potential crop damages from wildlife on adjacent lands. 5.) River structure modifications would be performed to encourage the erosion of bank along the Missouri River in order to develop shallow water habitat (SWH) and is anticipated to result in the creation of approximately 50 acres of SWH. SWH can be developed through a variety of methods ranging from bank notches, pilot channels landward of revetments, side channel chutes, dike notches, lowered dikes with chevrons, and combinations of these methods. The majority of the material excavated for the SWH activities would be deposited back in the Missouri River. 6.) The installation of a ground water well near a wetland area located in the northeast corner of the site in order to flood the area to create emergent marsh conditions and control exotic reed canary grass. 7.) Long-term maintenance of existing and newly created habitats would be performed. In addition, adaptive management of the Thurnau Site would be performed as necessary. 8.) Monitoring activities would also be conducted to determine the quality of the restored habitat and would be used to adaptively manage the site. 9.) No recreational features would be constructed; however, the site would be open to the public for a variety of uses including bird watching, hiking, fishing, and hunting. 10.) The Corps would seek to obtain permanent easement or fee title on the lands adjacent to the Thurnau Site and the Tarkio River. If any adjacent landowners were to become willing sellers in the future, the Corps would pursue acquisition of these properties.

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) OF 1968, as amended: The Corps has made a preliminary determination that the proposed project would not result in significant degradation of the human environment and therefore the proposed project would support a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI). The Corps has prepared a Draft Project Implementation Report and combined Environmental Assessment (EA) for the project, this draft document may be requested as described below under "Additional Information" and is available for review at the Corps of Engineers office. The Corps will utilize comments received in response to this Public Notice and the Draft EA to complete our evaluation of the project for

compliance with the requirements of NEPA, and other Federal, state, and local regulations, including this review for project compliance with the requirements of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The Corps has made a preliminary determination that the project as proposed would not be contrary to the public interest and is in compliance with the Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines. A preliminary determination has been made that the proposed work would be authorized by Nationwide Permit No. 27, Stream and Wetland Restoration Activities.

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**: Additional information about this public notice or the draft EA may be obtained by contacting Mr. David R. Hibbs, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Resources Section, 601 East 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Room 843, Kansas City, Missouri 64106, at telephone 816-983-3136, (FAX 816-426-2142) or via e-mail at <a href="mailto:David.R.Hibbs@usace.army.mil">David.R.Hibbs@usace.army.mil</a>. All comments to this public notice and the draft EA should be directed to the above address.

**WETLANDS:** No discharge of dredged or fill material will occur in any jurisdictional wetlands identified in the project area.

**DRAWINGS:** The attached drawings provide location details of the proposed project.

**PROPERTY ADJACENT TO PROJECT AREA:** Property adjacent to the project area is owned by several private landowners.

CULTURAL RESOURCES: The proposed project has been reviewed in compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89-665) including a check of the National Register of Historic Places and supplements thereto. No properties listed, proposed for listing, or eligible for listing in the National Register were identified in the project area. Furthermore, our evaluation of potential impacts to historic properties indicates that the project would not impact any properties listed, proposed for listing, eligible for listing, or potentially eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, the Kansas City District has determined that no Historic Properties would be affected by the proposed project; however, a Phase I cultural resources survey would be conducted prior to project implementation on those areas of non-accreted land that would be affected by major land disturbing activities.

**ENDANGERED SPECIES:** In compliance with the Endangered Species Act, a preliminary determination has been made that the described work may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect, species designated as threatened or endangered or adversely modify or destroy critical habitat. In order to complete our evaluation of this activity, comments are being solicited from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (directly under separate correspondence) and other interested agencies and individuals.

**FLOODPLAINS:** This activity is being reviewed in accordance with Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management, which discourages direct or indirect support of floodplain development whenever there is a practicable alternative. By this public notice, comments are requested from individuals and agencies that believe the described work will adversely impact the floodplain.

**WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION**: Section 401 of the Clean Water Act (33 USC 1341) requires that all discharges of dredged or fill material must be certified by the appropriate state

agency as complying with applicable effluent limitations and water quality standards. This public notice serves as an application to the state in which the discharge site is located for certification of the discharge. The discharge must be certified before Department of the Army authorization can be issued. Certification, if issued, expresses the state's opinion that the discharge will not violate applicable water quality standards.

**PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW:** The decision to issue authorization will be based on an evaluation of the probable impact including the cumulative impacts of the proposed activity on the public interest. That decision will reflect the national concern for both protection and utilization of important resources. The benefits which reasonably may be expected to accrue from the proposal must be balanced against its reasonably foreseeable detriments. All factors which may be relevant to the proposal will be considered including the cumulative effects thereof; among those are conservation, economics, esthetics, general environmental concerns, wetlands, cultural values, fish and wildlife values, flood hazards, floodplain values, land use, navigation, shoreline erosion and accretion, recreation, water supply and conservation, water quality, energy needs, safety, food and fiber production, mineral needs and, in general, the needs and welfare of the people. The evaluation of the impact of the activity on the public interest will include application of the guidelines promulgated by the Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency under authority of Section 404(b) of the Clean Water Act (33 USC 1344). The Corps of Engineers is soliciting comments from the public; Federal, state, and local agencies and officials; Indian Tribes; and other interested parties in order to consider and evaluate the impacts of this proposed activity. Any comments received will be considered by the Corps of Engineers to determine whether to issue, modify, condition or deny an authorization for this proposal. To make this decision, comments are used to address impacts on endangered species, historic properties, water quality, general environmental effects, and other public interest factors listed above. Comments are used in preparation of an Environmental Assessment and/or an Environmental Impact Statement pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act. Comments are also used to determine the need for a public hearing and to determine the overall public interest of the proposed activity.

**COMMENTS:** This notice is provided to outline details of the above-described activity so this District may consider all pertinent comments prior to determining if issuance of an authorization would be in the public interest. Any interested party is invited to submit to this office written facts or objections relative to the activity on or before the public notice expiration date. Comments both favorable and unfavorable will be accepted and made a part of the record and will receive full consideration in determining whether it would be in the public interest to issue the Department of the Army authorization. Copies of all comments, including names and addresses of commenters, may be provided to the applicant. Comments should be mailed to the address shown on page 3 of this public notice.

**PUBLIC HEARING:** Any person may request, in writing, prior to the expiration date of this public notice, that a public hearing be held to consider this application. Such requests shall state, with particularity, the reasons for holding a public hearing.

## PRELIMINARY SECTION 404(b)(1) EVALUATION REPORT PUBLIC NOTICE NO. 200500074

	YES	POTENTIAL EFFECTS	NO
I. Physical Effects A. Potential destruction of wetlands B. Impact on water column C. Covering of benthic communities		X X	X
<ul> <li>II. Chemical-Biological Interactive Effects</li> <li>A. Adverse effect of chemical constituents</li> <li>on water column</li> <li>B. Adverse effect of chemical constituents on</li> <li>benthos</li> </ul>			X X
III. Applicable Water Quality Standards A. Will activity be in conformance with applicable standards?	X		
IV. Selection of Disposal Sites  A. Impacts of fill material on chemical, physical, and biological integrity of aquatic ecosystem  B. Have the needs for the proposed activity been considered?  C. Have alternatives been considered?  D. Impacts on water uses at the proposed disposal site  E. Have mitigation measures to minimize harmful effects been considered?	X X	X X	
V. Contamination of Fill Material  A. Contamination of fill material if from a land source			X
VI. Mixing Zone  A. Have mixing zone determinations been established for each disposal site?			X
VII. Impacts to Navigation  A. Impairment to maintenance of navigation.  B. Economic impact on navigation and anchorage			X X
VIII. Public Participation and Coordination  A. Will a public interest review be conducted?	X		



